

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/641,595		08/18/2000	Michael Zimmer	JFH-A12898US	6641
24314	7590	07/31/2002			
JANSSON, SHUPE & MUNGER, LTD				EXAMINER	
245 MAIN S RACINE, W				PARKER, FREDERICK JOHN	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1762	11
				DATE MAILED: 07/31/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



٠.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMI United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231 APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

> EXAMINER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application DATE MAILED: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

,	continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
1. 2. 3.]	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)] a) A The period for reply expires — months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 707.07(f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate extension fee been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under set the filed from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in least one patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). A Notice of Appeal was filed on
7.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly for purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation). Claim(s) allowed: Now_





Response to Proposed After-Final Amendment

- 1. Applicants' proposed after final amendments have been considered.
- 2. The proposed phrase "whereby theunsupported layer" appears to be new matter since a perusal of the specification failed to find that concept, and the Remarks failed to site page/ line citations. The previous claims also never required the toner to be printed directly onto the substrate. Such concepts, while possibly overcoming the prior art of the Final Office Action, would require further search and/ or consideration. Further, previous claims never required the thermoplastic material to be extruded which is a proposed amendment of claim 26. This causes confusion with claim 38 which depends on claim 26 because it is unclear if the article is extruded or molded, or extruded and then put into a molding machine, etc. Proposed amendments regarding printing on a molded thermoplastic material of claims 39 and 43 would require further search and/ or consideration because those claims and their dependant claims have previously never required printing on a molded thermoplastic material. The proposed amendments therefore change the scope of the claims, appear to introduce New Matter, and raise new issues by introducing concepts/ amendments which would require further search and/ or consideration. Therefore, the proposed amendments will NOT be entered.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred J. Parker whose telephone number is (703) 308-3474.

Fred J. Parker

July 29, 2002

FRED J. PARKER PRIMARY EXAMINER

faf9-641595